UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

	United States of America	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL		
V. Reginald Bray Defendant		Case No. 1:12 Cr 224		
	After conducting a detention hearing under the Bail Referendant be detained pending trial.	form Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts re	equire	
	Part I – Fi	ndings of Fact		
(1)		in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has previously been convicte that would have been a federal offense if federal jurisdiction		
	a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3 which the prison term is 10 years or more.	156(a)(4), or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(E	B) for	
	an offense for which the maximum sentence is	death or life imprisonment.		
	an offense for which a maximum prison term of	f ten years or more is prescribed in:		
	a felony committed after the defendant had been U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state	en convicted of two or more prior federal offenses describe or local offenses.	ed in 18	
		or destructive device or any other dangerous weapon		
(2)	a failure to register under 18 U.S.C	~	ral atata	
(2)	or local offense.	while the defendant was on release pending trial for a feder	rai, state	
(3)	A period of less than 5 years has elapsed since the _ offense described in finding (1).	date of conviction defendant's release from priso	on for the	
(4)	Findings (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption that no condition will reasonably assure the safety of anothe person or the community. I further find that defendant has not rebutted that presumption.			
	Alternativ	re Findings (A)		
√ (1)	There is probable cause to believe that the defendan	t has committed an offense		
	✓ for which a maximum prison term of ten years of Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. 801 et sunder 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).			
(2)		ablished by finding (1) that no condition or combination of cond the safety of the community.	conditions	
(1)	•	re Findings (B)		
√ (2)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will endang	er the safety of another person or the community.		
	Part II – Statement of	the Reasons for Detention		
evidence defenda with inte	a preponderance of the evidence that: Int is a 34-year-old unemployed man. He is charged went to deliver. The latter charge arose from a search o	ne detention hearing establishes by clear and convinctivith distributing heroin and with possessing over 100 gr. of his residence on June 1. After defendant was arrested in antities of heroin. Defendant has a long criminal history wi	of heroin	

Part III - Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or a designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On order of United States Court or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for a court appearance.

previous felony drug convictions dating back to 1997. Defendant was on probation or parole at the time of each new drug felony offense. Defendant is a career drug dealer who has not been deterred by previous convictions and sentences. His

previous violations of supervision show that he is not likely to abide by bond conditions if released.

Date:	September 25, 2012	Judge's Signature:	/s/ Joseph G. Scoville
		Name and Title:	Joseph G. Scoville, U.S. Magistrate Judge